

Judging Rubric: Latinx History Student Research Competition

Category					Score
Oral Presentation	<p>Presenter was confident and professional. Established eye contact. Clearly conveyed topic. Answered questions well. Discussed in layman's terms or appropriate to judge.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">24 PTS.</p>	<p>Presentation and demonstration of understanding was acceptable. Demonstrated some problems (speaking too softly, use of jargon, hesitation, inability to handle questions, etc.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">20 PTS.</p>	<p>Presenter did not convey a sense of confidence or ability to clearly discuss the topic. Additional practice would be helpful.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">16 PTS.</p>	<p>Presenter was not prepared. Demonstrated problems in several areas (no eye contact, no clear discussion of topic, lack of professionalism).</p> <p style="text-align: center;">12 PTS.</p>	
Poster Content	<p>Strong Material. Well summarized. Clearly shows development of study or research. Material appears to accurately support purpose of study, hypothesis, research question or engagement project. Strong conclusion, implications and reflection/analysis of experience presented.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">12 PTS.</p>	<p>The content was adequately presented, but support for the study, research hypothesis, question(s), or engagement project is somewhat general. Conclusion, implications, and reflections were reasonable.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">10 PTS.</p>	<p>Content presented was difficult to understand and did not sufficiently convey a connection to the study, hypothesis, research question(s), method, conclusion, implications and/or reflections.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">8 PTS.</p>	<p>Connection not found between poster content and purpose of study, research hypothesis/question(s), method, conclusions, implications or reflections.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">6 PTS.</p>	
Poster Appearance/ Clarity	<p>Visually appealing and strongly effective presentation. Easy to read. Utilized creativity in use of fonts, headings, colors, and white space.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">12 PTS.</p>	<p>Poster was adequate but could improve effectiveness through better use of space through font size, colors, heading, and white space.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">10 PTS.</p>	<p>Poster was acceptable but needs work to improve visual appeal through better utilization of fonts, colors, headings, and white space.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">8 PTS.</p>	<p>Not visually effective.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">6 PTS.</p>	
Poster Organization	<p>Topic is clearly evident. Layout of poster is logical, and provides sequential information from intro to conclusion and references.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">12 PTS.</p>	<p>Topic is apparent. The presentation of information could use refining.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">10 PTS.</p>	<p>Topic is not clear. Information presented is somewhat confusing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">8 PTS.</p>	<p>Unable to understand link between information presented and topic.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">6 PTS.</p>	
Total Score (Max of 60):					

Feedback: